

Comments from the Planning Hearing

Unit: Humanities

Administrator: Vyavuka MasiMasi

Date of Hearing: July 23, 2008

Reviewers: Dr. Cynthia Anthony, Dr. Sherri Davis, Dr. Myrtes Green, Dr. Bruce Crawford

Comments:

1. **2006-2007** complete documentation for Student Learning Outcomes
2. **2007-2008 Unit Plans**
 - a. Unit Plan Outcome #2 delete everything related to Tegrity in the statement
 - b. Unit Plan Outcome #3 change the wording to read "80% of students will earn a passing grade {C or above} on the English 101 Exit Exam".
 - c. Unit Plan Outcome #4 stop the sentence after grade management; delete everything that follows
 - d. Under Unit Outcome #5 change the increase number from 15 to 5 so that it is more realistic
 - e. Under method of assessment add sample Blackboard documents and delete Criterion Writing on Line and the portfolios. Also change the word use to usage.
 - f. Under budget implications
 - i. Under #1 put an estimated salary range of \$39,000 to \$47,000
 - ii. Under #1 Indicate the specific number of new hires that are needed for English and Speech faculty.
 - iii. Under #2 stipulate \$25,000 for the computers
 - iv. Under #5 put an estimated salary range of \$39,000 to \$47,000
 - v. Under #5 indicate the specific number of new hires that are needed
 - g. Under actual results obtained
 - i. Under #2 and #5 change "Most instructors" to "The actual number of instructors who use Criterion"
 - ii. Under #2 remove all statements related to Tegrity
 - h. Under Use of Results
 - i. Under #2 remove all statements related to Tegrity
3. Documentation for the Unit Plan is excellent but delete any reference to student names. Use sample documentation instead of a lot of information.
4. Student Learning Outcomes
 - a. Under Assessment Methods #4 add that Criterion has an embedded rubric.